

TEAM NAME:

JUDGE:

DATE: August 2020

	Category	Description	Comments	Score		Category	Description	Comments	Score
Key Deliverables (60%)	CO₂ calculations (20%)	Rigorous and complete calculations; well-documented references; explicit treatment of uncertainty		/4	Written/Oral Communication (35%)	Presentation quality (15%)	Presentation was well organized and talking points were well shared among team members; displayed mastery of subject matter across team members.		/4
	Financial calculations (10%)	Financials calculations are rigorous, reflect careful data collection and documentation, and cover Net Present Value (NPV), Return on Investment (ROI) and Payback Period, if applicable.		/4		Presentation content (15%)	Presentation clearly communicates the key deliverables, is attractive, and follows guidelines. Presentation contains a balance of informative images, graphs, and descriptive text.		/4
	Co-benefits (10%)	Co-benefits of project are adequately researched and clearly articulated.		/4		Creativity/Innovation (5%)	The project team showed creativity in project choice, methodology, and/or presentation		/4
	Interpretation and Organization of Data (10%)	Data clearly supports project recommendations. Data is clear and easy to understand. Able to follow where and how the data was collected.		/4	Professionalism (5%)	Communication (5%)	Highly professional and timely communication with CRC instructors and staff.		/4
	Implementation/Host Deliverables (10%)	Evidence of strong internal ownership and acceptance. Content is easily accessible for company partner		/4					

NOTES:

WEIGHTED OVERALL SCORE:

/4